

Stability and superstability of Jordan homomorphisms and Jordan derivations on Banach algebras and C^* -algebras: A fixed point approach

¹M. Eshaghi Gordji, ²A. Najati and ³A. Ebadian

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Semnan University, Semnan, Iran
Research Group of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications (RGNAA), Semnan, Iran;
Center of Excellence in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications (CENAA),
Semnan University, Iran*

e-mail: madjid.eshaghi@gmail.com

² *Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran*

e-mail: a.nejati@yahoo.com

³ *Department of Mathematics, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran*

e-mail: ebadian.ali@gmail.com

Abstract. Using fixed point methods, we prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability and superstability of Jordan homomorphisms (Jordan $*$ -homomorphisms), and Jordan derivations (Jordan $*$ -derivations) on Banach algebras (C^* -algebras) for the generalized Jensen–type functional equation

$$rf\left(\frac{x+y}{r}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y}{r}\right) = 2f(x)$$

where r is a fixed positive real number in $(1, \infty)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical result of Herstein [?] asserts that any Jordan derivation on a prime ring with characteristic different from two is a derivation. A brief proof of Herstein’s result can be found in 1988 by Brear and Vukman [?]. Cusack [?] generalized Herstein’s result to 2-torsion-free semiprime rings (see also [?] for an alternative proof). For some other results concerning derivations on prime and semiprime rings, we refer to [?, ?, ?].

We say a functional equation (ξ) is stable if any function g satisfying the equation (ξ) *approximately* is near to a true solution of (ξ) . We say that a functional equation is *superstable* if every approximately solution is an exact solution of it.

The stability of functional equations was first introduced by S. M. Ulam [?] in 1940. More precisely, he proposed the following problem: Given a group G_1 , a metric group (G_2, d) and a positive number ϵ , does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 39B52; Secondary 39B82; 46HXX.

⁰Keywords: Alternative fixed point; Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability; Jordan homomorphism; Jordan derivation.

$f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $T : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that $d(f(x), T(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$? As mentioned above, when this problem has a solution, we say that the homomorphisms from G_1 to G_2 are stable. In 1941, D.H. Hyers [?] gave a partial solution of *Ulam's* problem for the case of approximate additive mappings under the assumption that G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [?] generalized the theorem of Hyers by considering the stability problem with unbounded Cauchy differences. This phenomenon of stability that was introduced by Th.M. Rassias [?] is called the *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability* of functional equations.

Theorem 1.1. [?] *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a norm vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E \rightarrow E'$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2-2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p < 0$ then inequality (1.1) holds for all $x, y \neq 0$, and (1.2) for $x \neq 0$. Also, if the function $t \mapsto f(tx)$ from \mathbb{R} into E' is continuous for each fixed $x \in E$, then T is linear.

The result of the Rassias theorem has been generalized by Forti [?] and Găvruta [?] who permitted the Cauchy difference to become arbitrary unbounded. Some results on the stability of functional equations in single variable and nonlinear iterative equations can be found in [?, ?].

During the last decades several stability problems of functional equations have been investigated by many mathematicians. A large list of references concerning the stability of functional equations can be found in [?, ?, ?].

D.G. Bourgin is the first mathematician dealing with the stability of ring homomorphisms. The topic of approximate ring homomorphisms was studied by a number of mathematicians, see [?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?] and references therein.

It seems that approximate derivations was first investigated by Jun and D. Park [?]. Recently, the stability of derivations has been investigated by some authors; see [?, ?] and references therein.

Miura et al. [?] proved the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of Jordan homomorphisms, also, Jun and H. Kim [?] proved the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of Jordan derivations on Banach algebras.

Recently, Cădariu and Radu applied the fixed point method to the investigation of the functional equations. (see also [?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?]). Before proceeding to the main results, we will state the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. (The alternative of fixed point [?]). *Suppose that we are given a complete generalized metric space (Ω, d) and a strictly contractive mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ with Lipschitz constant L . Then for each given $x \in \Omega$, either*

$$d(T^m x, T^{m+1} x) = \infty \text{ for all } m \geq 0,$$

or there exists a natural number m_0 such that

- ★ $d(T^m x, T^{m+1} x) < \infty$ for all $m \geq m_0$;
- ★ the sequence $\{T^m x\}$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of T ;
- ★ y^* is the unique fixed point of T in the set $\Lambda = \{y \in \Omega : d(T^{m_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- ★ $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Ty)$ for all $y \in \Lambda$.

In this paper, we will adopt the fixed point alternative of Cădariu and Radu to prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability and superstability of Jordan homomorphisms (Jordan $*$ -homomorphisms) and Jordan derivations (Jordan $*$ -derivations) on Banach algebras (C^* -algebras) associated with the following generalized Jensen-type functional equation

$$rf\left(\frac{x+y}{r}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y}{r}\right) = 2f(x)$$

where r is a fixed positive real number in $(1, \infty)$.

Throughout this paper assume that A, B are two Banach algebras. For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$\Delta_\mu f(x, y) = r\mu f\left(\frac{x+y}{r}\right) + r\mu f\left(\frac{x-y}{r}\right) - 2f(\mu x)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and all $x, y \in A$.

2. JORDAN HOMOMORPHISMS AND JORDAN DERIVATIONS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

Note that a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $h : A \rightarrow B$ ($D : A \rightarrow A$) is a Jordan homomorphism (Jordan derivation) if $h(D)$ satisfies

$$h(a^2) = (h(a))^2, \quad (D(a^2) = aD(a) + D(a)a)$$

for all $a \in A$.

Lemma 2.1. [?] *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$, where X and Y are linear spaces. Then the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\phi : A^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\| \leq \phi(x, y), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|f(x^2) - (f(x))^2\| \leq \phi(x, y) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x \in A$. If there exists an $L < 1$ such that $\phi(x, y) \leq rL\phi(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r})$ for all $x, y \in A$, then there exists a unique Jordan homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \phi(x, 0) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. It follows from $\phi(x, y) \leq rL\phi(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r})$ that

$$\lim_j r^{-j} \phi(r^j x, r^j y) = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Putting $\mu = 1, y = 0$ in (??), we get

$$\|rf(\frac{x}{r}) - f(x)\| \leq \phi(x, 0) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence,

$$\|\frac{1}{r}f(rx) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{r}\phi(rx, 0) \leq L\phi(x, 0) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x \in A$. Consider the set $X := \{g \mid g : A \rightarrow B\}$ and introduce the generalized metric on X :

$$d(h, g) := \inf\{C \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq C\phi(x, 0) \text{ for all } x \in A\}.$$

It is easy to show that (X, d) is complete. Now we define the linear mapping $J : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$J(h)(x) = \frac{1}{r}h(rx)$$

for all $x \in A$. By [??, Theorem 3.1],

$$d(J(g), J(h)) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in X$. It follows from (??) that

$$d(f, J(f)) \leq L.$$

By Theorem ??, J has a unique fixed point in the set $X_1 := \{h \in X : d(f, h) < \infty\}$. Let h be the fixed point of J . Then h is the unique mapping with

$$h(rx) = rh(x)$$

for all $x \in A$. On the other hand we have $\lim_n d(J^n(f), h) = 0$. So that

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{r^n}f(r^n x) = h(x) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from $d(f, h) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f, J(f))$ that

$$d(f, h) \leq \frac{L}{1-L}.$$

This implies the inequality (??). It follows from (??), (??) and (??) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| rh\left(\frac{x+y}{r}\right) + rh\left(\frac{x-y}{r}\right) - 2h(x) \right\| \\ &= \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} \|f(r^{n-1}(x+y)) + f(r^{n-1}(x-y)) - f(r^n x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} \phi(r^n x, r^n y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in A$. So

$$rh\left(\frac{x+y}{r}\right) + rh\left(\frac{x-y}{r}\right) = 2h(x)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Putting $z = \frac{x+y}{r}$ and $t = \frac{x-y}{r}$ in the above equation, we get

$$h(z) + h(t) = \frac{2}{r}h\left(\frac{r(z+t)}{2}\right) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $z, t \in A$. Putting $t = 0$ in the above equation, we get $\frac{r}{2}h(z) = h(\frac{r}{2}z)$. So, $\frac{r}{2}h(t) = h(\frac{r}{2}t)$. Hence, H is Cauchy additive by using (??). By putting $y = x$ in (??), we have

$$\|r\mu f(\frac{2x}{r}) - 2f(\mu x)\| \leq \phi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in A$. This implies that

$$\|h(2\mu x) - 2\mu h(x)\| = \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} \|f(2\mu r^n x) - 2\mu f(r^n x)\| \leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} \phi(r^n x, r^n x) = 0$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x \in A$. By Lemma ??, the mapping $h : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Since $r > 1$, it follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(x^2) - (h(x))^2\| \\ &= \lim_n \left\| \frac{1}{r^{2n}} h(x^2) - \frac{1}{r^{2n}} (h(x))^2 \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^{2n}} \phi(r^n x, r^n x) \leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} \phi(r^n x, r^n x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus $h : A \rightarrow B$ is a Jordan homomorphism satisfying (??), as desired. \square

We prove the following Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability problem for Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ be real numbers. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\| &\leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \\ \|f(x^2) - (f(x))^2\| &\leq 2\theta\|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$. Then there exists a unique Jordan homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{2^p \theta}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Set $\phi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in A$, and let $L = 2^{p-1}$ in Theorem ???. Then we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\phi : A^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (??) and*

$$\|f(x^2) - xf(x) - f(x)x\| \leq \phi(x, y) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $x \in A$. If there exists an $L < 1$ such that $\phi(x, y) \leq rL\phi(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r})$ for all $x, y \in A$, then there exists a unique Jordan derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \phi(x, 0) \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem ??, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (?). The mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$D(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} f(r^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D(x^2) - xD(x) - D(x)x\| \\ &= \lim_n \left\| \frac{1}{r^{2n}} D(x^2) - \frac{1}{r^{2n}} (D(x)x - xD(x)) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^{2n}} \phi(r^n x, r^n x) \leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} \phi(r^n x, r^n x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus $D : A \rightarrow A$ is a Jordan derivation satisfying (?). \square

We prove the following Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability problem for Jordan derivation on Banach algebras.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ be real numbers. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow A$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\| &\leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \\ \|f(x^2) - xf(x) - f(x)x\| &\leq 2\theta\|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$. Then there exists a unique Jordan derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \frac{2^p \theta}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Set $\phi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in A$, and let $L = 2^{p-1}$ in Theorem ??. Then we get the desired result. \square

The case in which $p = 1$ was excluded in Corollary ?? and Corollary ??. Indeed these corollaries are not valid when $p = 1$. Here we use the Gajda's example [?] to give a counter example.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by*

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{for } |x| < 1; \\ 1 & \text{for } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider the function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \phi(2^n x).$$

Then f satisfies

$$|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)| \leq 8(r+1)(|x| + |y|), \quad (2.11)$$

$$|f(x^2) - (f(x))^2| \leq 12|x|, \quad (2.12)$$

$$|f(x^2) - 2xf(x)| \leq 8|x| \quad (2.13)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$, and the range of $|f(x) - A(x)|/|x|$ for $x \neq 0$ is unbounded for each additive mapping $A : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. It is clear that f is bounded by 2 on \mathbb{C} . If $|x| + |y| = 0$ or $|x| + |y| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)| \leq 8(r+1)(|x| + |y|).$$

Now suppose that $|x| + |y| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \leq |x| + |y| < \frac{1}{2^k}. \quad (2.14)$$

Therefore

$$2^m r^{-1} |x \pm y|, 2^m |x| < 1$$

for all $m = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. From the definition of f and (??), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_\mu f(x, y)| &\leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left[r|\phi(2^n r^{-1}(x+y))| + r|\phi(2^n r^{-1}(x-y))| + 2|\phi(2^n \mu x)| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{4}{2^k} (r+1) \leq 8(r+1)(|x| + |y|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f satisfies (??). To prove (??) and (??), if $|x| = 0$ or $|x| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x^2) - (f(x))^2| &\leq 6 \leq 12|x|, \\ |f(x^2) - 2xf(x)| &\leq 2 + 4|x| \leq 8|x|. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < |x| < \frac{1}{2}$, we choose an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \leq |x| < \frac{1}{2^k}$. Therefore

$$2^m |x|^2, 2^m |x| < 1$$

for all $m = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x^2) - (f(x))^2| &= \left| (k - k^2)x^2 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \phi(2^n x^2) - 2kx \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \phi(2^n x) - \left(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \phi(2^n x) \right)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{k^2 - k}{2^k} |x| + \frac{2}{2^k} + \frac{4k}{2^k} |x| + \left(\frac{2}{2^k} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{k^2 + 3k}{2^k} |x| + 8|x| \leq 12|x|, \end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x^2) - 2xf(x)| &= \left| -kx^2 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\phi(2^n x^2) - 2x \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\phi(2^n x) \right| \\ &\leq k|x|^2 + \frac{2}{2^k} + \frac{4}{2^k}|x| \\ &\leq \frac{k+4}{2^k}|x| + 4|x| \leq 7|x| \end{aligned}$$

Hence f satisfies (??) and (??). Let $A : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an additive function such that

$$|f(x) - A(x)| \leq \beta|x|$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A(x) = cx$ for all rational numbers x . So we have

$$|f(x)| \leq (\beta + |c|)|x| \quad (2.15)$$

for all rational numbers x . Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > \beta + |c|$. If x is a rational number in $(0, 2^{1-m})$, then $2^n x \in (0, 1)$ for all $n = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. So

$$f(x) \geq \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} 2^{-n}\phi(2^n x) = mx > (\beta + |c|x)$$

which contradicts (??). \square

3. JORDAN *-HOMOMORPHISMS AND JORDAN *-DERIVATIONS ON C^* -ALGEBRAS

Throughout this section assume that A and B are two C^* -algebras. Note that a Jordan homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ ($D : A \rightarrow A$) is an $*$ -Jordan homomorphism ($*$ -Jordan derivation) if $h(D)$ satisfies

$$h(a^*) = (h(a))^*, \quad (D(a^*)) = (D(a))^*$$

for all $a \in A$.

We establish the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of $*$ -Jordan homomorphisms and $*$ -Jordan derivations in C^* -algebras by using the alternative fixed point theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\phi : A^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (??), (??) and*

$$\|f(x^*) - (f(x))^*\| \leq \phi(x, y) \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x \in A$. If there exists $0 < L < 1$ such that $\phi(x, y) \leq rL\phi(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r})$ for all $x, y \in A$, then there exists a unique Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L}\phi(x, 0) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem ??, there exists a unique Jordan homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (??) and h is given by

$$h(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} f(r^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. We have to show that h is $*$ -preserving. To this end, it follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(x^*) - (h(x))^*\| \\ &= \lim_n \left\| \frac{1}{r^{2n}} h(x^*) - \frac{1}{r^{2n}} (h(x))^* \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^{2n}} \phi(r^n x, r^n x) \leq \lim_n \frac{1}{r^n} \phi(r^n x, r^n x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus $h : A \rightarrow B$ is a Jordan $*$ -homomorphism satisfying (??), as desired. \square

We prove the following Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability problem for Jordan $*$ -homomorphisms on C^* -algebras.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ be real numbers. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|f(x^*) - (f(x))^*\|, \|f(x^2) - (f(x))^2\|\} &\leq 2\theta\|x\|^p, \\ \|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\| &\leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$. So Then there exists a unique Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{2^p \theta}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Set $\phi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in A$, and let $L = 2^{p-1}$ in Theorem ??. Then we get the desired result. \square

In 1996, Johnson [?] proved the following theorem (see also [??, Theorem 2.4]).

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a Banach \mathcal{A} -module. Then each Jordan derivation $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a derivation.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\phi : A^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (??), (??) and (??). If there exists $0 < L < 1$ such that $\phi(x, y) \leq rL\phi(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r})$ for all $x, y \in A$, then there exists a unique $*$ -derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \phi(x, 0) \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Similarly to the proofs of Theorems ?? and ??, we can show that there exists a unique Jordan $*$ -derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (?). By Theorem ??, D is a derivation, and the proof is complete. \square

We prove the following Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability problem for Jordan $*$ -derivations on Banach algebras.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ be real numbers. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow A$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|f(x^*) - (f(x))^*\|, \|f(x^2) - xf(x) - f(x)x\|\} &\leq 2\theta\|x\|^p, \\ \|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\| &\leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$. Then there exists a unique derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \frac{2^p\theta}{2 - 2^p}\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Set $\phi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in A$, and let $L = 2^{p-1}$ in Theorem ??. Then we get the desired result. \square

Remark 3.1. *Consider the function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which has been defined in Proposition ??. Since $f(\bar{x}) = \overline{f(x)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$, Corollaries ?? and ?? are not true for $p = 1$.*

4. SUPERSTABILITY

In this section, we prove the super stability of of Jordan homomorphisms (Jordan $*$ -homomorphisms), and Jordan derivations (Jordan $*$ -derivations) on Banach algebras (C^* -algebras) for the generalized Jensen–type functional equation. We need the following lemma in our main results.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X and Y be linear normed spaces. Let $\theta \geq 0$, p and q be real numbers with $q > 0$ and $p + q \neq 1$. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\| \leq \theta\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q \quad (4.1)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in X$ (by letting $\|x\|^0 = 1$ and $x \neq 0$ for $p < 0$). Then f is linear.

Proof. Letting $y = 0$ in (?), we obtain that

$$f(rx) = rf(x), \quad f(\mu x) = \mu f(x) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x \in X$. Hence, we have from (?) and (?) that

$$\|f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x)\| \leq \theta\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q \quad (4.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq 0$. Since $f(r^n x) = r^n f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all integers n , we get from (?) that

$$\|f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x)\| \leq \theta \left(\frac{r^{p+q}}{r} \right)^n \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$$

for all integers n and all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq 0$. Therefore

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq 0$. Since f is odd, the last equation holds for all $x, y \in X$. Hence f is additive and we conclude that f is linear by Lemma ?? . \square

Now, we prove the superstability problem for Jordan homomorphisms and derivations on Banach algebras.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $p, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta, q \in (0, \infty)$ with $p + q \neq 1, s \neq 2$. Let A, B be Banach algebras. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ ($f : A \rightarrow A$) satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\| &\leq \theta \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q, \\ \|f(x^2) - (f(x))^2\| &\leq \theta \|x\|^s, \quad \left(\|f(x^2) - xf(x) - f(x)x\| \leq \theta \|x\|^s \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$ (by letting $\|x\|^0 = 1$ and $x \neq 0$ for $p < 0$ or $s < 0$). Then f is a Jordan homomorphism (Jordan derivation).

Proof. By Lemma ??, f is linear. Hence,

$$\|f(x^2) - (f(x))^2\| \leq \theta n^{s-2} \|x\|^s, \quad \left(\|f(x^2) - xf(x) - f(x)x\| \leq \theta n^{s-2} \|x\|^s \right)$$

for all integers n and all $x \neq 0$. Therefore

$$f(x^2) = (f(x))^2, \quad \left(f(x^2) = xf(x) + f(x)x \right)$$

we get the desired result . \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta, q \in (0, \infty)$ with $p + q \neq 1, 2$. Suppose A, B are C^* -algebras and $f : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\max\{\|f(x^*) - (f(x))^*\|, \|f(x^2) - (f(x))^2\|, \|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\|\} \leq \theta \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$. Then f is a Jordan $*$ -homomorphism.

Similarly, we can show that every nearly Jordan $*$ -derivation is a derivation.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta, q \in (0, \infty)$ with $p + q \neq 1, 2$. Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $f : A \rightarrow A$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\max\{\|f(x^*) - (f(x))^*\|, \|f(x^2) - xf(x) - f(x)x\|, \|\Delta_\mu f(x, y)\|\} \leq \theta \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$. Then f is a $*$ -derivation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal Ravi P, Xu Bing, Zhang W. Stability of functional equations in single variable, *J Math Anal Appl*, 2003, **288**: 852–869.
- [2] Badora R. On approximate ring homomorphisms. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2002, **276**: 589–597.
- [3] Badora R. On approximate derivations. *Math Inequal Appl*, 2006, **9**: 167–173.
- [4] Baker J, Lawrence J, Zorzitto F. The stability of the equation $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1979, **74**: 242–246.
- [5] Bourgin D G. Approximately isometric and multiplicative transformations on continuous function rings. *Duke Math J*, 1949, **16**: 385–397.
- [6] Bresar M. Jordan mappings of semiprime rings. *J Algebra*, 1989, **127**: 218–228.
- [7] Bresar M. Jordan derivations on semiprime rings. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1988, **104**: 1003–1006.
- [8] Bresar M, Vukman J. Jordan derivations on prime rings. *Bull Austral Math Soc*, 1988, **37**: 321–322.
- [9] Cusack J M. Jordan derivations on rings. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1975, **53**: 321–324.
- [10] Cădariu L, Radu V. On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach. *Grazer Mathematische Berichte*, 2004, **346**: 43–52.
- [11] Cădariu L, Radu V. The fixed points method for the stability of some functional equations. *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, 2007, **23**: 63–72.
- [12] Cădariu L, Radu V. Fixed points and the stability of quadratic functional equations. *Analele Universitatii de Vest din Timisoara*, 2003, **41**: 25–48.
- [13] Cădariu L, Radu V. Fixed points and the stability of Jensen’s functional equation. *J Inequal Pure Appl Math*, 2003, **4**: Article ID 4.
- [14] Czerwik S. *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*. World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [15] Forti G L. Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables. *Aequationes Math*, 1995, **50**: 143–190.
- [16] Gajda Z. On stability of additive mappings. *Internat J Math Math Sci*, 1991, **14**: 431–434.
- [17] Găvruta P. A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J Math Anal Appl*, 1994, **184**: 431–436.
- [18] Haagerup U, Laustsen N. Weak amenability of C^* -algebras and a theorem of Goldstein. *Banach algebras’97 (Blaubeuren)*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998, 223–243.
- [19] Herstein I N. Jordan derivations of prime rings. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1957, **8**: 1104–1110.
- [20] Hyers D H. On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A*, 1941, **27**: 222–224.
- [21] Hyers D H, Rassias Th M. Approximate homomorphisms. *Aequationes Math*, 1992, **44**: 125–153.
- [22] Hyers D H, Isac G, Rassias Th M. *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

- [23] Johnson B E. Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations. *Math Proc Camb Phil Soc*, 1996, **120**: 455–473.
- [24] Jun K W, Kim H M. Approximate derivations mapping into the radicals of Banach algebras. (English summary) *Taiwanese J Math*, 2007, **11**: 277–288.
- [25] Jun K W, Park D W. Almost derivations on the Banach algebra $C^m[0, 1]$. *Bull Korean Math Soc*, 1996, **33**: 359–366.
- [26] Jung S M. *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*. Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [27] Miura T, Takahasi S E, Hirasawa G. Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras. *J Inequal Appl*, 2005, **4**: 435–441.
- [28] Park C. Linear derivations on Banach algebras. *Nonlinear Funct Anal Appl*, 2004, **9**: 359–368.
- [29] Park C. Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras. *Bull Sci Math*, 2008, **132**: 87–96.
- [30] Park C. Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras. *Bull Brazilian Math Soc*, 2005, **36**: 79–97.
- [31] Park C., Eshaghi Gordji M. Comment on Approximate ternary Jordan derivations on Banach ternary algebras [Bavand Savadkouhi et al. *J. Math. Phys.* 50, 042303 (2009)], *J. Math. Phys.*, 2010, **51**, 044102: 7 pages.
- [32] Park C, Rassias J M. Stability of the Jensen-type functional equation in C^* -algebras: a fixed point approach. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2009, Article ID 360432: 17 pages.
- [33] Radu V. The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations. *Fixed Point Theory*, 2003, **4**: 91–96.
- [34] Rassias Th M. On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1978, **72**: 297–300.
- [35] Rassias Th M. On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam. *Acta Appl Math*, 2000, **62**: 23–130.
- [36] Rus I A. *Principles and Applications of Fixed Point Theory*. Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979 (in Romanian).
- [37] Ulam S M. *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Chapter VI, Science ed. Wiley, New York, 1940.
- [38] Vukman J. An equation on operator algebras and semisimple H^* -algebras. *Glas Mat Ser III*, (2005), **40(60)**: 201–205.
- [39] Vukman J, Kosi-Ulbl I. A note on derivations in semiprime rings. *Int J Math Math Sci*, 2005, **20**: 3347–3350.
- [40] Xu Bing, Zhang W. Hyers–Ulam stability for a nonlinear iterative equation. *Colloq Math*, 2002, **93**: 1–9.